

**From:** [Michael V. Dyett](#)  
**To:** [Cynthia Koehler](#)  
**Subject:** Online Water Use Monitoring  
**Date:** Monday, May 17, 2021 6:42:20 AM

---

Dear Cynthia,

I recommend Eye on Water or a similar app to enable us to monitor or conservation efforts in real time rather than wait for the monthly bill. The benefits are obvious. Please have staff look into this. Thanks.

Michael V Dyett FAICP  
Mill Valley  
(415)260-4976

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [nancy oswald](#)  
**To:** [Christina Mountanos](#); [Larry Bragman](#); [Larry Russell](#); [Shaun Horne](#); [Cynthia Koehler](#); [Monty Schmitt](#); [Jack Gibson](#)  
**Date:** Monday, May 17, 2021 2:26:52 PM

---

I read a letter in today's IJ about cisterns and how they could be very beneficial to Marin County water supply during droughts.

Are there any thoughts about cisterns and or/other practical ideas to save/collect water as in cases like at 130 Crescent?

I would be interested in your thoughts that any of you might have on this subject.

Nancy Oswald

In my humble opinion, you are not doing your job. We need a desalination plant. You are a monopoly- we really have no other choice. Having been a resident of Marin county for over 50 years, I can tell you that we go through severe water restrictions frequently. You can't determine the amount of water Mother Nature will provide us, but you can do something about providing us with an option - a desal plant.

Time of Service Toilet Retrofit Program



Opinion Survey

Thank you for successfully participating in Marin Municipal Water District's Time of Service Toilet Retrofit Program (Program). Please take a moment to complete this brief survey about your experience participating in the Program, and return it to Marin Municipal Water District in the envelope provided. The valuable feedback that you provide will assist us in developing and improving our water conservation efforts.

1. Was participation in the Time of Service Toilet Retrofit Program easy?

Agree

Agree somewhat

Disagree

2. Were Program instructions easy to follow?

Agree

Agree somewhat

No

Disagree

3. Were Program materials easy to understand?

Agree

Agree somewhat

Disagree

4. Do you think that mandatory toilet replacement programs are a sensible approach to water conservation?

Agree

Agree somewhat

absolutely Disagree

5. Do you believe that water conservation is important?

Agree

Agree somewhat

Disagree

6. Are you satisfied with your ultra-low-flush toilet(s)?

Agree

Agree somewhat

Disagree

7. Other comments or suggestions:

Since 1977, you should have found new water sources

Mailing Address: Marin Municipal Water District - Time of Service Toilet Retrofit Program PO Box 994, Corte Madera, CA 94976-0994

The Toilet Police!

Meet Lindsey Watts To Pass out De Politic

EXPLORE YOUR PARKS

MARIN IJ AUGUST 25

High 87 Low 56 a peak 98

# Marin Independent Journal

Thursday, August 25, 2011 \$1.50 FACEBOOK.COM/MARINIJAN TWITTER.COM/MARINIJ

MARIN COUNTY

## Lawsuit challenges MMWD fee

### Maintenance charge called violation of state constitution

By Will Houston

will.houston@marinij.com  
will.houston@marinij.com

The months-long fight over a controversial new fee on ratepayers is moving to the courtroom.

The Coalition of Sensible Taxpayers nonprofit corporation, known as COST, and four water ratepayers filed a 64-page complaint in Marin County Superior Court this week alleging the water district overstepped its authority and violated the state constitution by approving the fee.

The fee, which took effect in July, levies a fixed fee based on water meter size, with most ratepayers paying about \$145 a year; however, the amount can range up to \$31,683 for 10-inch meters. The criticized portion in fee renewal took the next decade to set up to repair and replace the aging pipe mains, valves and treatment plant.

for the program. COST says the ratepayers — Doug Kelly and John Jamerson, George Bunker and Robert Jamerson — have known — along the capital maintenance fee, said the increased water district and approved earlier this year. Violates the state constitution.

The resulting fee scheme is arbitrary and capricious — and illegal," the COST board of directors wrote in a statement. "It places an unfair burden on residential ratepayers broadly, especially those who are low water users or have large meters."

The case seeks to invalidate the fee, refund all the payments and